COPY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

LENOX TOWNSHIP, WARREN COUNTY, ILLINOIS)
Petitioner,) Case No. T03-0022
v.	{
STATE OF ILLINOIS, DEPARTMENT OF	<i>'</i>
TRANSPORTATION, and	
BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND	
SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY,	Aug 1 4 2003
Respondents.	tllinois Commerce Commission

MOTION TO RECONSIDER

Comes now Lenox Township, by its attorneys, HATTERY, SIMPSON, & WEST, and in support of this Motion to Reconsider, states:

- Lenox Township had engaged in negotiations with the BNSF and the State
 regarding the closure of two railroad crossings. One crossing was a grade
 separation crossing, located at TR 169 at milepost 195 and is assigned DOT No.
 004 702 J. The second crossing is an at-grade crossing located at TR 70 (600E) at
 milepost 195.2 and is assigned DOT No. 004 703R.
- Prior to March of 2002, Lenox Township filed an application as an initial step toward receiving funds from the Grade Crossing Protection Fund. This application makes reference to the at-grade crossing listed as DOT No. 004703R.
- 3. On March 20, 2003, Lenox Township filed a Petition requesting authorization of the closing of a crossing and payment from the Grade Crossing Protection Fund in the amount of \$25,000.

DOCKETED

- 4. Said petition erroneously described the grade separation crossing, making reference to TR 169 and DOT No. 004 702 J.
- 5. A hearing was held on said petition on May 22, 2003. At the hearing, Milo Sprout, Lenox Township Highway Commissioner, testified that the Township sought no payment from the Grade Crossing Protection Fund for the closing of the grade separation structure. Rather, the Township sought payment only for the at-grade closing on TR 70 (600E) known as DOT No. 004703R.
- 6. The Commission entered its order, denying the petition, on July 9, 2003. The bases for the Commission's denial are:
 - a. The untimely filing of the petition; and
 - b. The request for payment for a grade separation closure.
- 7. Lenox Township thought the misunderstanding regarding the two closures had been made clear at the hearing.
- 8. Although it does not agree, Lenox Township understands the Commission's position on the issue of the purported untimely filing.
- 9. However, Lenox Township requests reconsideration of the order dated July 9, 2003 to accurately reflect the correct crossing in question, to-wit: TR 70 (600E) at the location of the at-grade crossing created at the intersection of TR 70 (600E) at the trackage of BNSF at Milepost 195.2, DOT No. 004703R.

WHEREFORE, Lenox Township respectfully requests the Commission enter an order which accurately reflects Lenox Township's request for funds payable as a result of the closure of the at-grade crossing located at TR 70 (600E), milepost 195.2, DOT No.004703R, and for all other relief proper in the premises.

HATTERY, SIMPSON & WEST

By:

Molly E. Palmer Molly E. Palmer

HATTERY, SIMPSON & WEST Suite 402, Hill Arcade Galesburg, IL 61401 (309) 343-6152 Attorneys for Lenox Township

PROOF OF SERVICE

Molly E. Palmer deposes and says that on the 7th day of August, 2003 she caused to be served via U.S.Mail in Galesburg, Illinois, a true and correct copy of MOTION TO RECONSIDER upon:

Judge Rick Korte, Chief Administrative Law Judge Review & Examination Program 527 East Capitol Avenue, 9th Floor Springfield, IL 62701

Michael L. Sazdanoff Kenneth J. Wysoglad & Associates 118 South Clinton Street Suite 700 Chicago, IL 60661

Illinois Department of Transportation Attention: Mr. James Easterly 2300 South Dirksen Parkway Springfield, IL 62764

Illinois Commerce Commission
Railroad Section
Attention: Mr. Henry Humphries
527 East Capitol Avenue
Springfield, IL 62701

Molly E. Palmer